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#### Abstract

The goal of the present article is to supply B. Mossé's (unilateral) recognizability theorem with a proof easy to follow.


## 1. Introduction

Let $A$ be a finite alphabet of at least two letters. Let $\sigma$ be a primitive substitution over $A$ which admits a fixed point $u$ in $A^{\mathbb{Z}_{+}}$, where $\mathbb{Z}_{+}:=\{0,1,2, \ldots\}$. For each $p \in \mathbb{N}:=\{1,2,3, \ldots\}$, set

$$
E_{p}=\{0\} \cup\left\{\left|\sigma^{p}\left(u_{[0, n)}\right)\right|: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}
$$

whose elements are called the natural p-cutting points. If $q \geq p$, then $E_{q} \subsetneq E_{p}$.
Definition 1 ([3, p. 530],[8, Definition V. 6.]). The substitution $\sigma$ is said to be recognizable if there exists $L \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
u_{[i, i+L)}=u_{[j, j+L)}, i \in E_{1} \Rightarrow j \in E_{1} .
$$

The so-called Morse substitution: $a \mapsto a b, b \mapsto b a$ has a fixed point

$$
u=a b b a b a a b b a a b a b b a b a a b a b b a a b b a b a a b \ldots
$$

and then $E_{1}=\{0,2,4,6, \ldots\}$. As is shown in [8, p. 109], the Morse substitution is recognizable with $L=4$.

The recognizability is an important notion for primitive substitutions from viewpoints of associated subshifts. If the substitution $\sigma$ is recognizable, then the unilateral subshift $X_{\sigma}$ arising from $\sigma$ has a Kakutani-Rohlin partition $[2,1]$ built on a clopen subset $\sigma\left(X_{\sigma}\right)$ of $X_{\sigma}$ which pictures the substitution rule. It is also a significant consequence of the recognizability that $\sigma\left(X_{\sigma}\right)$ is open [8, Proposition VI. 3]. The property of $\sigma\left(X_{\sigma}\right)$ being open is actually equivalent to the recognizability [3]. In fact, [8, Proposition VI. 6] states that given a point $x \in X_{\sigma}$, the first return time of the point $\sigma(x)$ to the clopen subset $\sigma\left(X_{\sigma}\right)$ equals the length $\left|\sigma\left(x_{0}\right)\right|$ of the word $\sigma\left(x_{0}\right)$, and so the Kakutani-Rohlin partition is given by

$$
\left\{T^{k} \sigma([a]): 0 \leq k<|\sigma(a)|, a \in A\right\}
$$

where $T$ is the shift on $X_{\sigma}$, and $[a]=\left\{x=\left(x_{i}\right)_{i} \in X_{\sigma} \mid x_{0}=a\right\}$. This leads to a fact that the first return map on $\sigma\left(X_{\sigma}\right)$ is a topological factor of $X_{\sigma}$, which shows a self-similarity of $X_{\sigma}$ if $\sigma$ is one-to-one on the alphabet $A$; see [8, Corollary VI. 8].

It is important to characterize a class of primitive substitutions with the recognizability. It is B. Mossé [6] that succeeded in the characterization:

Theorem 1 ([6, Théorème 3.1]). If $u$ is aperiodic, i.e. $T^{n} u \neq u$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then the following are equivalent.

[^0](1) $\sigma$ is not recognizable;
(2) for each $L \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$such that

- $\sigma\left(u_{j}\right)$ is a strict suffix of $\sigma\left(u_{i}\right)$;
- $\sigma\left(u_{i+k}\right)=\sigma\left(u_{j+k}\right)$ for each integer $k$ with $1 \leq k \leq L$.

The author believes that B. Mossé's proof [6] for this theorem would be difficult to completely follow, in particular, Part (4) in p. 332. Moreover, no proofs can be found in recent textbooks [7, 4, 9], though a proof for the bilateral recognizability is written in [4, pp. 163-164]. This motivated the author to write the present article.

Everything which the reader will see in the present article is due to B. Mossé or other pioneers.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let $A$ be a finite alphabet, i.e. a finite set, of at least two elements. An element of $A$ is called a letter. Let $A^{+}$denote the set of nonempty words over $A$. The empty word is denoted by $\Lambda$. Put $A^{*}=A^{+} \cup\{\Lambda\}$. We say that a word $w \in A^{*}$ occurs in a word $v \in A^{*}$ if there exist $p, s \in A^{*}$ such that $v=p w s$. We then write $w \prec v$. More specifically, $w$ is said to occurs at the position $|p|+1$ in $v$. The position is called an occurrence of $w$ in $v$. Let $|v|_{w}$ denote the number of occurrences of $w$ in $v$. The words $p$ and $s$ are called a prefix and suffix of $v$, respectively. We then write $p \prec_{\mathrm{p}} v$ and $s \prec_{\mathrm{s}} v$, respectively. If $|p|<|v|$ (resp. $\left.|s|<|v|\right)$, then $p$ (resp. $s$ ) is called a strict prefix (resp. suffix) of $v$, and then we write $p \prec_{\text {sp }} v$ (resp. $s \prec_{\text {ss }} v$ ). A power of a word $w \in A^{*}$ is a word of the form

$$
w=\underbrace{v v \ldots v}_{n \text { times }}
$$

with some $v \in A^{*}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, which is denoted by $w^{n}$.
A substitution over $A$ is defined to be a map from $A$ to $A^{+}$. The domain of $\sigma$ is naturally extended to each of $A^{+}$and $A^{\mathbb{Z}_{+}}$by means of concatenation or juxtaposition of words. A recursive formula defines the powers $\sigma^{k}$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$on each of $A^{+}$and $A^{\mathbb{Z}_{+}}$. We always assume that the substitution $\sigma$ is primitive, i.e. there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a \prec \sigma^{k}(b)$ for any $a, b \in A$.

To prove Lemma 5, we will use Lemma 1 and Corollary 2 below. They concern Perron-Frobenius Theory for nonnegative, square matrices. Let $M$ denote a matrix whose entries are all real. The matrix $M$ is said to be nonnegative if the entries of $M$ are nonnegative. A nonnegative, square matrix $M$ is said to be primitive if there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $M^{n}$ is positive, i.e. the entries of $M^{n}$ are positive. If a nonnegative, square matrix $M$ is primitive, then it has such an eigenvalue $\lambda>0$ that the absolute value of any other eigenvalue is less than $\lambda$. The eigenvalue $\lambda$ is called the Perron eigenvalue of the matrix $M$. See for details [5, Sections 4.2 and 4.5]. The reader may refer to [5, Theorem 4.5.12] for a proof of the following lemma.

Lemma 1. Let $\lambda$ denote the Perron eigenvalue of a primitive matrix M. Let $s$ denote the size of $M$. Then, there exist sets $\left\{c_{i, j}>0: 1 \leq i, j \leq s\right\}$ and $\left\{\rho_{i, j}(n) \in \mathbb{R}: 1 \leq i, j \leq s, n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ such that for all pair $(i, j) \in\{1,2, \ldots, s\}^{2}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho_{i, j}(n)=0 \text { and }\left(M^{n}\right)_{i, j}=\left\{c_{i, j}+\rho_{i, j}(n)\right\} \lambda^{n} .
$$

The incidence matrix $M_{\sigma}$ of the substitution $\sigma$ is defined to be an $A \times A$ matrix whose ( $a, b$ )-entry equals $|\sigma(a)|_{b}$. Clearly, a substitution is primitive if and only if the incidence matrix associated with the substitution is primitive. Notice that every $(a, b)$-entry of $M_{\sigma}{ }^{n}$ equals $\left|\sigma^{n}(a)\right|_{b}$.

Corollary 2. Let $\lambda$ denote the Perron eigenvalue of $M_{\sigma}$. Then, there exists an integer $C \geq 2$ such that for all $a \in A$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
C^{-1} \lambda^{n} \leq\left|\sigma^{n}(a)\right| \leq C \lambda^{n} .
$$

Proof. Lemma 1 allows us to obtain $c_{a, b}>0, \rho_{a, b}(n) \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $a, b \in A$,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho_{a, b}(n)=0 \text { and }\left|\sigma^{n}(a)\right|_{b}=\left\{c_{a, b}+\rho_{a, b}(n)\right\} \lambda^{n} .
$$

Fix a letter $a \in A$. Since

$$
\lambda^{-n}\left|\sigma^{n}(a)\right|>0
$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and we have that

$$
\lambda^{-n}\left|\sigma^{n}(a)\right| \rightarrow \sum_{b \in A} c_{a, b}(n \rightarrow \infty),
$$

there exists $C_{a} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
C_{a}^{-1} \leq \lambda^{-n}\left|\sigma^{n}(a)\right| \leq C_{a} .
$$

Taking $C=\max _{a \in A} C_{a}+1$, we obtain the desired conclusion. Notice that we require that $C \geq 2$.

Suppose that $u:=u_{0} u_{1} u_{2} \ldots \in A^{\mathbb{Z}_{+}}$is a fixed point of $\sigma$, where $u_{i} \in A$ for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$. Put

$$
\mathcal{L}(u)=\left\{u_{i} u_{i+1} \ldots u_{j} \mid i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}, i \leq j\right\} \cup\{\Lambda\} .
$$

It follows from the primitivity of $\sigma$ that $u$ is uniformly recurrent, i.e. given a word $w \in \mathcal{L}(u)$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
v \in \mathcal{L}(u),|v|=N \Rightarrow w \prec v .
$$

We say that a word $w \in A^{*}$ occurs at a position $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$in $u$ if

$$
u_{[i, i+|w|)}:=u_{i} u_{i+1} \ldots u_{i+|w|-1}=w
$$

## 3. Powers of words occurring in the fixed point

Definition 2. A word $v \in A^{+}$is said to be primitive if it holds that

$$
v=w^{n}, w \in A^{+}, n \in \mathbb{N} \Rightarrow(w=v, \text { or equivalently } n=1) .
$$

Lemma 3 ([6, Propriété 2.3]). If $v \in A^{+}$is primitive and $v w v \prec v^{n}$ for some $n \in\{2,3, \ldots\}$, then $w$ is a power of $v$.

Proof. Assume that $w$ is not any power of $v$. Since $v w v \prec v^{n}$, there exist $s_{1}, t_{1} \in A^{+}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=s_{1} t_{1}=t_{1} s_{1} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $v$ is primitive, we have $\left|s_{1}\right| \neq\left|t_{1}\right|$. We may assume that $\left|s_{1}\right|<\left|t_{1}\right|$. Figure 1 shows the two ways (3.1) of decomposing $v$. Since $v$ is primitive, it is necessary that $\left|s_{1}\right| \nmid\left|t_{1}\right|$. Find a word $s_{2}$ of length $\left(\left|t_{1}\right| \bmod \left|s_{1}\right|\right)$ such that

$$
t_{2}=s_{1}{ }^{\left\lfloor\left\lfloor t_{1}\left|/\left|s_{1}\right|\right\rfloor\right.\right.} s_{2} .
$$



Figure 1. decompositions of $v$ into $s_{1}$ and $t_{1}$
We then find a word $t_{2} \in A^{+}$such that $s_{1}=t_{2} s_{2}=s_{2} t_{2}$. We are again in the situation similar to Figure 1 ; replace $v, s_{1}, t_{1}$ with $s_{1}, s_{2}, t_{2}$, respectively. Continuing this procedure, we finally reach $n \in \mathbb{N}$ for which $s_{n}$ is a letter. This forces that $v$ is a power of the letter, which is a contradiction.

Lemma 4 ([6, Lemme 2.5]). If there exist $N, p \in \mathbb{N}$ and a primitive word $v \in A^{+}$ such that
(1) $\sigma^{p}\left(u_{i} u_{i+1}\right) \prec v^{N}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$;
(2) $2|v| \leq \min _{a \in A}\left|\sigma^{p}(a)\right|$,
then $u$ is periodic.
Proof. It follows from (1) that each $\sigma^{p}\left(u_{i}\right) \prec v^{N}$, so that for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$, there exist $s_{i} \prec_{\text {ss }} v, t_{i} \prec_{\text {sp }} v$ and $n_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$such that

$$
\sigma^{p}\left(u_{i}\right)=s_{i} v^{n_{i}} t_{i} .
$$

If $n_{i}=0$ for some $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$, then $\left|\sigma^{p}\left(u_{i}\right)\right|<2|v|$, which contradicts (2). Hence, $n_{i} \geq 1$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$. This guarantees that for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$,

$$
v t_{i} s_{i+1} v \prec s_{i} v^{n_{i}} t_{i} s_{i+1} v^{n_{i+1}} t_{i+1}=\sigma^{p}\left(u_{i} u_{i+1}\right) \prec v^{N} .
$$

It follows from Lemma 3 that each $t_{i} s_{i+1}$ is a power of $v$. We obtain finally that

$$
u=\sigma^{p}(u)=s_{0} v^{n_{0}} t_{0} s_{1} v^{n_{1}} t_{1} s_{2} v^{n_{2}} t_{2} \cdots=s_{0} v^{\infty},
$$

which is periodic, because $s_{0} \prec_{\text {ss }} v$. This completes the proof.
In order to prove Theorem 1, we will use the following lemma, which itself is actually interesting.
Lemma 5 ([6, Théorème 2.4]). If $u$ is aperiodic, then there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
\sup \left\{n \in \mathbb{N}: w^{n} \in \mathcal{L}(u), w \in A^{+}\right\}<N
$$

It is clearly necessary that $N>1$.
Proof. Suppose that some power $w^{n}$ of a primitive word $w \in A^{+}$occurs in $u$. For $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$, put

$$
\ell_{p}=\frac{1}{2} \min _{a \in A}\left|\sigma^{p}(a)\right| .
$$

Since $\ell_{p} \uparrow \infty$, there uniquely exists $p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
\ell_{p-1} \leq|w|<\ell_{p}
$$

Since $u$ is uniformly recurrent, there exists $g \in \mathbb{N}$ so that for all $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$
u_{i} u_{i+1} \prec u_{[j, j+g)} .
$$

It follows that for all $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$
\sigma^{p}\left(u_{i} u_{i+1}\right) \prec \sigma^{p}\left(u_{[j, j+g)}\right) .
$$

If the length of a word $w^{\prime} \in \mathcal{L}(u)$ equals

$$
(g+2) \max _{a \in A}\left|\sigma^{p}(a)\right|,
$$

then $\sigma^{p}\left(u_{[j, j+g)}\right) \prec w^{\prime}$ for some $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$, so that $\sigma^{p}\left(u_{i} u_{i+1}\right) \prec w^{\prime}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$. Since $2|w|<\min _{a \in A}\left|\sigma^{p}(a)\right|$ and $u$ is aperiodic, Lemma 4 forces that

$$
\left|w^{n}\right|=n|w|<(g+2) \max _{a \in A}\left|\sigma^{p}(a)\right| .
$$

Then

$$
n<\frac{(g+2) \max _{a \in A}\left|\sigma^{p}(a)\right|}{\ell_{p-1}}=2(g+2) \frac{\max _{a \in A}\left|\sigma^{p}(a)\right|}{\min _{a \in A}\left|\sigma^{p-1}(a)\right|} \leq 2(g+2) \lambda C^{2}
$$

where $\lambda$ and $C$ are as in Corollary 2. This completes the proof.

## 4. A proof of Theorem 1

Definition 3. (1) Let $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$and $p, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$. A finite sequence

$$
\left\{\alpha, \sigma^{p}\left(u_{i^{\prime}}\right), \sigma^{p}\left(u_{i^{\prime}+1}\right), \ldots, \sigma^{p}\left(u_{i^{\prime}+k-1}\right), \beta\right\}
$$

where $i^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, is called a natural p-cutting of $u_{[i, i+\ell)}$ if
(a) $u_{[i, i+\ell)}=\alpha \sigma^{p}\left(u_{i^{\prime}}\right) \sigma^{p}\left(u_{i^{\prime}+1}\right) \ldots \sigma^{p}\left(u_{i^{\prime}+k-1}\right) \beta$;
(b) $\alpha \prec_{\mathrm{s}} \sigma^{p}\left(u_{i^{\prime}-1}\right)$;
(c) $\beta \prec_{\mathrm{p}} \sigma^{p}\left(u_{i^{\prime}+k}\right)$;
(d) $i+|\alpha|=\left|\sigma^{p}\left(u_{\left[0, i^{\prime}\right)}\right)\right|$.
(2) Suppose that a word $w$ occurs at positions $i$ and $j$ in $u$. The word $w$ is said to have the same $p$-cutting at the positions $i$ and $j$ if

$$
\left(E_{p} \cap[i, i+|w|-1]\right)+(j-i)=E_{p} \cap[j, j+|w|-1] .
$$

Remark 4. We do not exclude the possibility that $\alpha=\Lambda$ or $\beta=\Lambda$. Not every $u_{[i, i+\ell)}$ has a natural $p$-cutting, because we require $k \geq 1$ in Definition 3 (1). It is not necessary that a natural $p$-cutting is uniquely determined for given $i$ and $\ell$ in Definition 3 (1).

We now proceed to our proof of Theorem 1.
Proof of Theorem 1. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2): Fix an integer $k$ with

$$
k \geq C^{2}\left(C^{2} N+2\right)>4 C^{2}
$$

where $C$ (resp. $N$ ) is as in Corollary 2 (resp. Lemma 5). Assume that $\sigma$ is not recognizable.

Step 1. For each $p \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist $i_{p} \in E_{1}, j_{p} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+} \backslash E_{1}, i_{p}^{\prime}, j_{p}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}, h_{p}, \ell_{p} \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha_{p}, \gamma_{p}^{\prime} \in A^{*}, \gamma_{p} \in A^{+}$such that

- $u_{\left[i_{p}, i_{p}+\ell_{p}\right)}=u_{\left[j_{p}, j_{p}+\ell_{p}\right)} ;$

$$
\bullet
$$

$$
\left\{\alpha_{p}, \sigma^{p}\left(u_{i_{p}^{\prime}}\right), \sigma^{p}\left(u_{i_{p}^{\prime}+1}\right), \ldots, \sigma^{p}\left(u_{i_{p}^{\prime}+k-1}\right)\right\}
$$

is a natural $p$-cutting of $u_{\left[i_{p}, i_{p}+\ell_{p}\right)}$;

$$
\left\{\gamma_{p}, \sigma^{p}\left(u_{j_{p}^{\prime}}\right), \sigma^{p}\left(u_{j_{p}^{\prime}+1}\right), \ldots, \sigma^{p}\left(u_{j_{p}^{\prime}+h_{p}-1}\right), \gamma_{p}^{\prime}\right\}
$$

is a natural $p$-cutting of $u_{\left[j_{p}+\left|\alpha_{p}\right|, j_{p}+\ell_{p}\right)}$.

This fact is not difficult to verify; see Lemma 6 below the present proof. The above properties allows us to define

$$
m_{p}=\min \left\{m \in \mathbb{N}: \alpha_{p} \gamma_{p} \prec_{\mathrm{s}} \sigma^{p}\left(u_{j_{p}^{\prime}-m}\right) \sigma^{p}\left(u_{j_{p}^{\prime}-m+1}\right) \ldots \sigma^{p}\left(u_{j_{p}^{\prime}-1}\right)\right\}
$$

Since $\alpha_{p} \prec_{\mathrm{s}} \sigma^{p}\left(u_{i_{p}^{\prime}-1}\right)$ and $\gamma_{p} \prec_{\mathrm{s}} \sigma^{p}\left(u_{j_{p}^{\prime}-1}\right)$, it follows that for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
m_{p} \leq \frac{\left|\alpha_{p} \gamma_{p}\right|}{\min _{a \in A}\left|\sigma^{p}(a)\right|} \leq \frac{2 \max _{a \in A}\left|\sigma^{p}(a)\right|}{\min _{a \in A}\left|\sigma^{p}(a)\right|} \leq 2 C^{2}
$$

so that $m:=\max _{p \in \mathbb{N}} m_{p}$ exists and is independent of the choice of $k$. We know that for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\alpha_{p} \gamma_{p} \prec_{\mathrm{s}} \sigma^{p}\left(u_{j_{p}^{\prime}-m}\right) \sigma^{p}\left(u_{j_{p}^{\prime}-m+1}\right) \ldots \sigma^{p}\left(u_{j_{p}^{\prime}-1}\right)
$$

Step 2. Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{p} \sigma^{p}\left(u_{j_{p}^{\prime}}\right) \sigma^{p}\left(u_{j_{p}^{\prime}+1}\right) \ldots \sigma^{p}\left(u_{j_{p}^{\prime}+h_{p}-1}\right) \gamma_{p}^{\prime}=\sigma^{p}\left(u_{i_{p}^{\prime}}\right) \sigma^{p}\left(u_{i_{p}^{\prime}+1}\right) \ldots \sigma^{p}\left(u_{i_{p}^{\prime}+k-1}\right), \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

it follows that

$$
h_{p} \min _{a \in A}\left|\sigma^{p}(a)\right| \leq k \max _{a \in A}\left|\sigma^{p}(a)\right|,
$$

so that $h_{p} \leq k C^{2}$. Hence, $\left\{h_{p}\right\}_{p}$ is bounded. Recall that $k$ is now fixed. Hence, the set

$$
\left\{\left(h_{p}, u_{\left[i_{p}^{\prime}-1, i_{p}^{\prime}+k-1\right]}, u_{\left[j_{p}^{\prime}-m, j_{p}^{\prime}+h_{p}\right]}\right) \in \mathbb{N} \times A^{+} \times A^{+}: p \in \mathbb{N}\right\}
$$

is finite. We can find an infinite set $I \subset \mathbb{N}, h \in \mathbb{N}$ and words

$$
a_{-1} a_{0} \ldots a_{k-1}, b_{-m} b_{-m+1} \ldots b_{h} \in \mathcal{L}(u)\left(a_{i}, b_{j} \in A\right)
$$

so that for all $p \in I$,

$$
\begin{align*}
h_{p} & =h ;  \tag{4.2}\\
u_{\left[i_{p}^{\prime}-1, i_{p}^{\prime}+k-1\right]} & =a_{-1} a_{0} \ldots a_{k-1}  \tag{4.3}\\
u_{\left[j_{p}^{\prime}-m, j_{p}^{\prime}+h_{p}\right]} & =b_{-m} b_{-m+1} \ldots b_{h} . \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Step 3. It follows from (4.1)-(4.4) that for any $p, q \in I$ with $p<q$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{q} \sigma^{q}\left(b_{0} b_{1} \ldots b_{h-1}\right) \gamma_{q}^{\prime}=\sigma^{q-p}\left(\gamma_{p}\right) \sigma^{q}\left(b_{0} b_{1} \ldots b_{h-1}\right) \sigma^{q-p}\left(\gamma_{p}^{\prime}\right) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that $\sigma^{q-p}\left(\gamma_{p}\right) \neq \gamma_{q}$ for some $p, q \in I$ with $p<q$. Consider the case $\left|\gamma_{q}\right|>\left|\sigma^{q-p}\left(\gamma_{p}\right)\right|$. Equation (4.5) allows us to find a word $v \in A^{+}$such that

- $\gamma_{q}=\sigma^{q-p}\left(\gamma_{p}\right) v \prec_{\mathrm{s}} \sigma^{q}\left(b_{-1}\right)$;
- $v^{N^{\prime}} \prec_{\mathrm{p}} \sigma^{q}\left(b_{0} b_{1} \ldots b_{h-1}\right)$ and $v^{N^{\prime}+1} \not \varliminf_{\mathrm{p}} \sigma^{q}\left(b_{0} b_{1} \ldots b_{h-1}\right)$ for some $N^{\prime} \in \mathbb{N}$.

Deducing from (4.1)-(4.4) that

$$
(h+2) \max _{a \in A}\left|\sigma^{q}(a)\right| \geq k \min _{a \in A}\left|\sigma^{q}(a)\right| .
$$

This together with the fact that $v \prec_{\mathrm{s}} \sigma^{q}\left(b_{-1}\right)$ implies that

$$
N^{\prime} \geq \frac{h \min _{a \in A}\left|\sigma^{q}(a)\right|}{\max _{a \in A}\left|\sigma^{q}(a)\right|} \geq\left(k C^{-2}-2\right) C^{-2} \geq N
$$

which contradicts Lemma 5. Also, in the other case, we reach the same contradiction. It follows therefore that $p, q \in I, q>p \Rightarrow \gamma_{q}=\sigma^{q-p}\left(\gamma_{p}\right)$.

Step 4. Since $I$ is infinite, $\sigma$ is primitive and $\gamma_{p} \neq \Lambda$, we can fix $p, q \in I$ with $q>p$ so that $\left|\sigma^{q-p-1}\left(\gamma_{p}\right)\right|>L$.


Figure 2
Observe how powers of $\sigma$ map $u_{\left[i_{q}^{\prime}, i_{q}^{\prime}+k-1\right]}$ to $\sigma^{q}\left(u_{\left[i_{q}^{\prime}, i_{q}^{\prime}+k-1\right]}\right)$ via $\sigma^{q-p}\left(u_{\left[i_{q}^{\prime}, i_{q}^{\prime}+k-1\right]}\right)$; see Figure 2. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \gamma_{p} \prec_{\mathrm{p}} \sigma^{p}\left(u_{\left[i_{p}^{\prime}, i_{p}^{\prime}+k-1\right]}\right)=\sigma^{p}\left(u_{\left[i_{q}^{\prime}, i_{q}^{\prime}+k-1\right]}\right) ; \\
& \gamma_{q} \prec_{\mathrm{p}} \sigma^{q}\left(u_{\left[i_{q}^{\prime}, i_{q}+k-1\right]}\right) ; \\
& \sigma^{q-p}\left(\gamma_{p}\right)
\end{aligned}=\gamma_{q}, \quad \text {, }
$$

it follows that
(i) $i_{q}+\left|\alpha_{q}\right| \in E_{q} \subset E_{1}$;
(ii) $i_{q}+\left|\alpha_{q} \gamma_{q}\right| \in E_{q-p} \subset E_{1}$;
(iii) $\sigma^{q-p-1}\left(\gamma_{p}\right)$ occurs at the position $i^{\prime \prime}:=\left|\sigma^{q-p-1}\left(u_{\left[0,\left|\sigma^{p}\left(u_{\left[0, i_{q}\right.}\right)\right| \mid\right.}\right)\right|$ in $u$;
(iv)

$$
\left\{\sigma\left(u_{i^{\prime \prime}}\right), \sigma\left(u_{i^{\prime \prime}+1}\right), \ldots, \sigma\left(u_{i^{\prime \prime}+\left|\sigma^{q-p-1}\left(\gamma_{p}\right)\right|-1}\right)\right\}
$$

is a natural 1-cutting of $u_{\left[i_{q}+\left|\alpha_{q}\right|, i_{q}+\left|\alpha_{q} \gamma_{q}\right|\right)}=\gamma_{q}$;
Then, observe how powers of $\sigma$ map $u_{\left[j_{q}^{\prime}-m, j_{q}^{\prime}+h\right]}$ to $\sigma^{q}\left(u_{\left[j_{q}^{\prime}-m, j_{q}^{\prime}+h\right]}\right)$ via $\sigma^{q-p}\left(u_{\left[j_{q}^{\prime}-m, j_{q}^{\prime}+h\right]}\right)$; see Figure 3. Then,
(v) $j_{q}+\left|\alpha_{q}\right| \in E_{q-p} \subset E_{1}$;
(vi) $j_{q}+\left|\alpha_{q} \gamma_{q}\right| \in E_{q-p} \subset E_{1}$;
(vii) $\sigma^{q-p-1}\left(\gamma_{p}\right)$ occurs at the position $j^{\prime \prime}:=\left|\sigma^{q-p-1}\left(u_{\left[0,\left|\sigma^{p}\left(u_{\left[0, j_{q}^{\prime}\right.}\right)\right|-\left|\gamma_{p}\right|\right)}\right)\right|$ in $u$;
(viii)

$$
\left\{\sigma\left(u_{j^{\prime \prime}}\right), \sigma\left(u_{j^{\prime \prime}+1}\right), \ldots, \sigma\left(u_{j^{\prime \prime}+\left|\sigma^{q-p-1}\left(\gamma_{p}\right)\right|-1}\right)\right\}
$$

is a natural 1-cutting of $u_{\left[j_{q}+\left|\alpha_{q}\right|, j_{q}+\left|\alpha_{q} \gamma_{q}\right|\right)}=\gamma_{q}$.
It follows from (iii), (iv), (vii) and (viii) that $\gamma_{q}$ has the same 1-cutting at the positions $i_{q}+\left|\alpha_{q}\right|$ and $j_{q}+\left|\alpha_{q}\right|$ in such a way that (i), (ii), (vi) and (v) hold. In


Figure 3
view of this together with the fact that $i_{q} \in E_{1}$ and $j_{q} \notin E_{1}$, we can find sequences:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& i_{q} \leq s_{-n}<s_{-n+1}<\cdots<s_{-1}<s_{0}=i_{q}+\left|\alpha_{q}\right| \\
& t_{-n}<t_{-n+1}<\cdots<t_{-1}<t_{0}=j_{q}+\left|\alpha_{q}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

of consecutive, natural 1-cutting points, i.e. $s_{i-1}<s<s_{i} \Rightarrow s \notin E_{1}$, such that

- $s_{i}-s_{i-1}=t_{i}-t_{i-1}$ for all integers $i$ with $-n+1<i \leq 0$;
- $s_{-n+1}-s_{-n} \neq t_{-n+1}-t_{-n}$.

It may happen that $t_{-n}<j_{q}$. This completes the proof, because the converse implication (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) is obvious.

Lemma 6. Let $k$ be an arbitrary integer with $k>4 C^{2}$, where $C$ is a constant as in Corollary 2. Assume that the substitution $\sigma$ is not recognizable. Then, for every $p \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist

$$
i_{p} \in E_{1}, j_{p} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+} \backslash E_{1}, i_{p}^{\prime}, j_{p}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}, h_{p}, \ell_{p} \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha_{p}, \gamma_{p}^{\prime} \in A^{*} \text { and } \gamma_{p} \in A^{+}
$$

such that

- $u_{\left[i_{p}, i_{p}+\ell_{p}\right)}=u_{\left[j_{p}, j_{p}+\ell_{p}\right)} ;$

$$
\left\{\alpha_{p}, \sigma^{p}\left(u_{i_{p}^{\prime}}\right), \sigma^{p}\left(u_{i_{p}^{\prime}+1}\right), \ldots, \sigma^{p}\left(u_{i_{p}^{\prime}+k-1}\right)\right\}
$$

is a natural p-cutting of $u_{\left[i_{p}, i_{p}+\ell_{p}\right)}$;

$$
\left\{\gamma_{p}, \sigma^{p}\left(u_{j_{p}^{\prime}}\right), \sigma^{p}\left(u_{j_{p}^{\prime}+1}\right), \ldots, \sigma^{p}\left(u_{j_{p}^{\prime}+h_{p}-1}\right), \gamma_{p}^{\prime}\right\}
$$

is a natural p-cutting of $u_{\left[j_{p}+\left|\alpha_{p}\right|, j_{p}+\ell_{p}\right)}$.

Proof. Fix an arbitrary integer $\ell_{p}^{\prime}$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell_{p}^{\prime}>(k+2) \max _{a \in A}\left|\sigma^{p}(a)\right| . \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of the assumption that $\sigma$ is not recognizable, there exist $i_{p} \in E_{1}$ and $j_{p} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+} \backslash E_{1}$ such that

$$
u_{\left[i_{p}, i_{p}+\ell_{p}^{\prime}\right)}=u_{\left[j_{p}, j_{p}+\ell_{p}^{\prime}\right)} .
$$

Condition 4.6 guarantees the existence of a natural $p$-cutting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\alpha_{p}, \sigma^{p}\left(u_{i_{p}^{\prime}}\right), \sigma^{p}\left(u_{i_{p}^{\prime}+1}\right), \ldots, \sigma^{p}\left(u_{i_{p}^{\prime}+k_{p}-1}\right), \alpha_{p}^{\prime}\right\} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

of $u_{\left[i_{p}, i_{p}+\ell_{p}^{\prime}\right)}$. Since

$$
k_{p} \geq \frac{\ell_{p}^{\prime}}{\max _{a \in A}\left|\sigma^{p}(a)\right|}-2>k
$$

from (4.7), we can choose a natural $p$-cutting

$$
\left\{\alpha_{p}, \sigma^{p}\left(u_{i_{p}^{\prime}}\right), \sigma^{p}\left(u_{i_{p}^{\prime}+1}\right), \ldots, \sigma^{p}\left(u_{i_{p}^{\prime}+k-1}\right)\right\}
$$

of $u_{\left[i_{p}, i_{p}+\ell_{p}\right]}$, where

$$
\ell_{p}=\left|\alpha_{p} \sigma^{p}\left(u_{\left[i_{p}^{\prime}, i_{p}^{\prime}+k-1\right]}\right)\right| .
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ell_{p}-\left|\alpha_{p}\right| & \geq\left(k \frac{\min _{a \in A}\left|\sigma^{p}(a)\right|}{\max _{a \in A}\left|\sigma^{p}(a)\right|}-1\right) \max _{a \in A}\left|\sigma^{p}(a)\right| \\
& \geq\left(k C^{-2}-1\right) \max _{a \in A}\left|\sigma^{p}(a)\right| \\
& >3 \max _{a \in A}\left|\sigma^{p}(a)\right|,
\end{aligned}
$$

we can choose a natural $p$-cutting

$$
\left\{\gamma_{p}, \sigma^{p}\left(u_{j_{p}^{\prime}}\right), \sigma^{p}\left(u_{j_{p}^{\prime}+1}\right), \ldots, \sigma^{p}\left(u_{j_{p}^{\prime}+h_{p}-1}\right), \gamma_{p}^{\prime}\right\} .
$$

of $u_{\left[j_{p}+\left|\alpha_{p}\right|, j_{p}+\ell_{p}\right)}$ so that $\gamma_{p} \neq \Lambda$.
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